# Bright City Lights and Slums of Dhaka city: Determinants of rural-urban migration in Bangladesh

AKM Ahsan ULLAH<sup>9</sup>

This paper explores the factors contributing to the migration process in Bangladesh. 197 randomly selected migrants and their families were interviewed at both destination and source locations using closed and open-ended questionnaires. The resulting data provided descriptive and analytical statistics. Data analysis reveals that the flow of migration to the major cities in Bangladesh is the result of rural - urban dichotomies in income, employment opportunity and absorptive capacity. A significantly higher percentage of migrants live in slums as compared to other places (P<0.003). Regression analysis shows that migration is influenced by both "push" and "pull" factors, such as the search for work, landlessness, extreme poverty, loss of income,, easy access to informal sectors in cities, and joining families or relatives. A factor analysis showed similar determinants. Reducing disparities between rural and urban areas should receive urgent attention to stabilise the migration process in Bangladesh.

#### Introduction

Gram sarsi bohut din hoise [I left my village long ago]' is a common response by migrants to the question 'When did you come to the city?' Migration between urban and rural areas is seen as a central element in the livelihoods of many households in Bangladesh (Haan, 1999). Much of the literature focuses on movements of people as a result of environmental, economic or demographic crises. The rapid growth of rural-urban migration has been a common feature of developing countries. In China, for instance, Wang et al (2000) identified the magnitude of the floating population in cities caused by rural-urban migration and the conse-

MIGRATION LETTERS 1(1): 26-41 (October 2004)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Mr. AKM Ahsan Ullah is a PhD student at Department of Applied Social Studies, City University of Hong Kong, 83 tat Chee Avenue, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong, Email: ahsan722000@yahoo.com.

quences of the tidal wave of migrants. In Bangladesh, too, migration flows to major cities have alarmed observers. Rural-urban migration flows increased dramatically during the famine of 1974 (BBS, 1996). As a consequence, the share of rural migrants as a share of the urban population rose to 8.9% from 5.2% in 1961. A distinct selectivity with respect to age, sex, caste, marital status, education and occupation is evident in rural - urban migration (Millington, 2000). Of 491 urban locations in Bangladesh, only the four largest cities (Dhaka, Rajshahi, Chittagong and Khulna) are officially recognized as metropolitan cities. About 22% of the 129 million people in Bangladesh live in urban areas. The level of urbanization in Bangladesh is comparatively low, but the pace is high, ranging from 7 to 11% in the last five decades (Islam 1996a). During the decade from 1951, the total urban population rose from 1.8 to 2.6 million. The factors responsible for this form of growth were the large scale migration of Muslims from India after Partition in 1947, and the development of new centres of trade, commerce, industry and administration in the region after the formation of Bangladesh in 1971 (Islam, 1996b; Daily Ittefaq, 1999).

Migration is broadly defined as a relocation of residence for a specified duration and various reasons (Hossain, 2001), but it dominates the domain of planning since it changes the lives of migrants' families both at the place of origin and destination. Rural-urban migration is a response to the high demand of labour by an industrial sector, which assures for workers greater levels of productivity and, for investors, profits superior to the opportunities found in the traditional agricultural sector (Lewis, 1954; Ranis and Fei 1961). Rural regions are over populated relative to the ability to feed themselves. Labour productivity is low, approaching zero, resulting in a subsistence level of production and providing incentives for migration to the cities. For Todaro (1969) and Harris and Todaro (1970), rural-urban migration in less developed countries depends on the difference in expected wage from migration (urban wage) versus an agricultural wage. The expected wage is equivalent to the actual industrial wage weighted by the probability of a migrant obtaining a job in the modern urban sector. Hence, rural-urban migration can coexist with high levels of urban unemployment. Johnson (1971) introduced to the Harris-Todaro model a "wage sharing" variable to take into account urban unemployment

and a lower rate of job turn over. Gugler and Flanagan (1978), Fields (1975), and Kelly and William (1984) suggested an inclusion in the Harris-Todaro model of the differential access to information for rural workers and urban residents, the cost of living, and education levels when computing the probability of a migrant securing an urban job. Corden and Findlay (1975) focused on capital mobility (i.e., workers moving to places where capital is more productive) as a major determinant of labour migration. In general, all the authors agreed on the basic Todaro hypothesis that wage differentials guide rural-urban migration.

Many theoretical models thus provide an explanation for migration flows. In the Todaro-Harris model, the decision to migrate is largely determined by the individual's expectation of earning a higher income, with expected income being defined as actual urban income multiplied by the probability of obtaining employment. The Bicoa model introduces the concept of a 'reservation wage', defined as the expected rural income plus the opportunity cost of moving. The latter includes the transportation costs required for job seeking and the psychological ones associated with moving. If the expected urban income exceeds the reservation wage, then the individual will be motivated to leave the rural area. However, both models explain migration propensity as the result of income and employment in rural and urban areas.

However, migration is not unitary. It differs from fertility and mortality in that it cannot be analysed, even primarily, as transcending cultural or physiological factors, but must be differentiated even at the most abstract level with the social conditions obtaining. This means that the most general statement that one can make concerning migration must be in the form of a typology, rather than a law (Petersen, 1978). Petersen's typology divides migration into five classes: primitive, impelled, forced, free, and mass. Each class is subdivided into two types; conservative migration, in which the migrant changes residence to maintain his present standard of living, and innovative migration, where the move is made in order to improve living standards. Economic migrants are those who move from one place of work and residence to another, either within a country or across international boundaries, primarily because of their economic opportunities, as distinct from refugees and those who move because of migration decisions of

others (tied movers) (Chiswick, 2000). Migration is explained by the law of demand and supply. The varying returns on investment in different areas leads to capital mobility, which results in the adjustment of prices and the development of economically less-developed areas (Nikolinakos, 1996).

Rapid population growth fragments rural landholdings accompanied by low cropping intensity and low yields thus creating surplus labour. An overpopulated rural subsistence economy characterized by zero marginal labour productivity is classified by Lewis as surplus labour (Todaro, 1985). A dearth of required functions in rural communities, such as educational functions (i.e., schools) triggered about 23% of migrants to move to the city in Bangladesh (Bangladesh Observer, 1999). Developing countries that face urban unemployment and poverty mainly due to high rural-urban migration rates, have tried to solve the problem by employing three types of policy. First, a shadow pricing policy attempts to equate marginal rates of substitution in consumption in both sectors by granting wage subsidies to urban firms that agree to reduce the wage, paid to their workers, to the rural wage level (Harris-Todaro, 1970; Sabot, 1979). This policy is equivalent to giving production subsidies to the agricultural sector in order to equate the marginal rate of production in both sectors (Baghwati and Srinivasan, 1974). Second, restricting the flow of labour migration to cities had been applied in many LDCs, but with only short term positive results. This policy also raises questions concerning civil liberties. Finally attempts have been made to implement labour intensive projects in cities to reduce urban unemployment and poverty. These have only led to more rural-urban migration because rural workers interpreted them as signals of higher probabilities of obtaining urban jobs (Todaro 1969).

Economic theory and empirical research shows that the foundation of rural-urban migration is the excess of the urban wage over the rural wage. Even migration determinants such as distance, age and contacts only reflect the fact that wage and productivity disparities exist. Migration produces inflows of remittances and offers an outlet for frustrated unemployed workers who might otherwise present serious domestic problems. The prevalence of household violence remains the major issue in slums. Polygamy, divorce, beatings, abandonment, physical and mental torture, use of abu-

sive language, dowry pressure, threats to remarry, and violent quarrels over trifling matters are quite prevalent. Women often received death rewards from their husbands (Simon, 1997). Apart from social, cultural and financial impacts, migration by an individual produces demographic impacts as well. The physical separation of husbands and wives as a result of migration gives the female partner less scope for conception, which results in low fertility among migrant households (Hossain, 2001). Migration to Dhaka, the capital city of Bangladesh, is the main focus of this paper, which explores the factors affecting migration and examines where migrants end up.

## **Methods**

Data used for this paper were collected using a questionnaire survey administered during January-February 2003 in two villages in the Dinajpur district of northern Bangladesh. Both close and open ended questions were used. To ensure a representative sample size, a standard technique was employed. A sample of 197 families who had moved to the cities was interviewed. With a view to gaining qualitative information two sessions of focus group discussions were conducted. Six experienced interviewers were recruited for data collection. They were trained for seven days on the specific work site setting and variables. To ensure quality data, a supervisor was recruited to help solve any difficulties arising during field work.

Descriptive and inferential statistics were analysed. Regression analysis has been employed to determine the potential variables affecting migration. The major research question is 'Are rural people pushed towards or pulled into cities?' To answer it, a factor analysis was applied to obtain a list of determinants affecting rural-urban migration (and to validate the push-pull theory). SPSS was used for all analysis.

# **Potential determinants**

Work in the informal sector has a potential role in influencing rural - urban migration. More than five million people are involved in informal sector occupations in Dhaka city (Islam, 1996a). The flow of migration to urban setting has continued to grow.

Workers employed in the informal sector increased by about 8% from the 1970s to 1980s. The overwhelming concentration of wealth, assets, purchasing power, economic activities and variety of services tend to support a continuous influx of migration. Many migrants make their living in the informal sector. In 1988, there were more than 500,000 rickshaw-pullers in Dhaka; a number that had increased to about 900,000 by the year 2002. Accessibility to some informal sectors exposes migrants to police harassment and gives rise to unplanned urban growth, but this figure in 1974 was only 40,000 (Amin, 1995; Ullah, Rahman and Murshed, 1999). Nearly 11% of the respondents claimed the informal sectors as one of the strongest pull factors.

Rural-urban dichotomies in higher income probability, education, density of population and service facilities are some of the most significant determinants influencing migration. The rural areas of Bangladesh are at the bottom of the spatial tier (Amin, 1994), where around only 15% of households have been brought under electrification and 92% of the roads are earthen (Ahmed, 1999). The operation of economic forces set in motion by economic growth and development in urban areas helps drain resources from rural areas.

The regression analysis

Both qualitative sessions and questionnaire survey revealed a bunch of factors that led them to decide to move to the city.

f <sub>1</sub>	Fail	ure	to	repay	NGO	loan
----------------	------	-----	----	-------	-----	------

- f2 Search of work
- f<sub>3</sub> Escape conviction
- f<sub>4</sub> Urge of livelihood (extreme poverty)
- f<sub>5</sub> Homelessness
- **f**<sub>6</sub> Landlessness
- f7 Threatened by opposition
- f<sub>8</sub> River erosion
- f9 Natural disaster
- f10 Conviction/got up cases

- $f_{14}$  Deprived of hereditary rights
- f<sub>15</sub> Oppressed/ deceived by any evil people
- f<sub>16</sub> Loss/death of guardian
- f<sub>17</sub> Loss of income sources
- f<sub>18</sub> Escape village enemy
- $f_{19}$  Easy access to informal sector
- f20 Easy access to slum areas
- f<sub>21</sub> Positive information on the city (many RMGs etc)
- f22 Higher income probability

f11 Marital factor (divorce,	f23 Higher services facilities
newly married etc)	f <sub>24</sub> Relatives/ joining families
f <sub>12</sub> Loosened family bondage	f <sub>25</sub> Life is fast in city
f <sub>13</sub> Too many family members	f <sub>26</sub> Do not like village/no elec-
	tricity

Determinants with comparatively higher frequencies were included in this model. The following determinants are highly correlated with the dependent variable 'migration', and help minimize the number of factors that show potential determinants. Factors entered into the final model are f1: failure to repay NGO loan (0.312); f2: search of work (0.409); f4: better livelihood (extreme poverty) (3.557); f6: landlessness (0.491); f11: marital factors (divorce, newly married etc) (0.301); f17: loss of income sources (0.344); f19: easy access to informal sector (0.389); f24: relatives/ joining families (0.355). The variables with a correlation coefficient smaller than 0.03 or R2 <0.09 were removed from the model to maximize the relation between the determinants (independent variables) and migration (dependent variable).

**Table 1. Regression coefficients** 

Variables	Regression coefficients	Significance*
Constant	-898.032	
f <sub>2</sub> Search of work	1.092	P<0.005
f <sub>4</sub> Urge of livelihood (ex- treme poverty)	3.557	P<0.000
f <sub>6</sub> Landlessness	2.775	P<0.004
f <sub>17</sub> Loss of income sources	3.005	P<0.000
f <sub>19</sub> Easy access to informal sector	2.085	P<0.000
f <sub>24</sub> Joining relatives/ families	1.008	P<0.007

Source: Computed from survey data, 2003.

<sup>\*</sup>significant at 95% confidence level

 $Y = -898.032 + 1.092 * f_2 + 3.557 * f_4 + 2.775 * f_6 + 3.005 * f_{17} + 2.085 * f_{19} + 1.008 * f_{24}$ 

The R<sup>2</sup> value 0.778 shows the usefulness of this model in analyzing the determinants that influence the migration process potentially and hence, the rural –urban migration is explained by five factors namely, Search of work, Landlessness Loss of income sources, Easy access to informal sector, and Relatives/ joining families.

Bangladesh is frequently exposed to multiple forms of natural disaster, and experts blame its geographical location and ecological hazards. Cyclones in 1970 and 1991 killed 300,000 and 170,000 respectively (UNICEF, 1999). People in Bangladesh live in a state of anxiety due to an inability to cope with such disasters, which is a significant push factor. They are gradually demoralized and refuse to remain in areas where fighting with nature is a losing battle. About 5% of respondents had left their rural homestead due to natural disasters. As mentioned above, Bangladesh experienced an upsurge in migration flows during the famine of 1974, when the highest average annual population growth rate (138%) was recorded for Dhaka (BBS, 1984). This influx continued in the mid 1980s when the city experienced a rapid increase in ready made garment (RMG) factories. The phenomenal growth in export oriented RMG factories from an insignificant level in 1975-80 to 54.3% of merchandize exports in 1990-93, contributed largely to urban growth (Afsar, 1999). About 1,100 RMGs in Dhaka employed more than 400,000 skilled and unskilled workers. Around 8% of migrants were influenced by information about obtaining a job in the RMG sector to move to the city. The Center for Urban Studies (CUS) showed 63% of migrants acquired information about Dhaka through their friends and relatives who lived in city (Islam, 1996c) and this information influenced the migration process.

Rapid urban growth causes economic, social and cultural improvements for some people but also a deterioration in the overall urban environment and a very low standard of living for the very large number who constitute the poor (Simon, 1999). Data revealed that a large number of migrant families living in slums and squatters moved to the cities due to the urge for a better livelihood.

The survey revealed a number of factors (got-up cases, family feuds, deprivation of hereditary rights, and demise of guardians) drove many to the city. A large number of riverbank people migrated to the city after their homesteads were eroded away by the river. The regression analysis offers the same idea about push or pull factors influenced their decision to move.

A few polygamous adults and a number of female migrants stated their decision to migrate was caused by their husbands that were either idle, blind, crippled, drunkards, thieves, immoral, extremely poor or sometimes violent. 'PEST' factors (political, economic, social and technological) meant people were more likely to migrate. The abolition of a food rationing system significantly accelerated this process too, a Wang et al, (2000) show for China. War-ravaged Bangladeshis had received basic food rationing from the government up to 1989. However, this study has found no evidence that this is a significant factor. Social scientists argue that loosening family bondage plays a role in the process of determining whether a temporary migrant will become a permanent one.

Controversies over internal migration in developing counties have revolved around the Todaro model (Wang et al, 2000), which assumes that the urban informal sector is the pull factor (where rural to urban migrants first seek employment opportunities and where they remain underemployed or unemployed until they can find employment in the urban formal sector). This model fits well with stylized facts in the urban labour market such as the large rural-urban wage gap, high unemployment rate, and rapidly growing informal sectors. These facts are more evident where factors like income elasticity demand, price elasticity of demand, market competition, economies of scale, external economies, terms of trade, capital accumulation and technological innovation point to the inherent advantages of the urban industrial sector and inherent disadvantages of the rural agricultural sector (Amin, 1994). The propensity of migration is influenced by a combination of push- pull factors. Urban bias is held responsible for rural-urban migration (Haan, 1999). Because of the overwhelming concentration of wealth, assets, purchasing capacity, economic activities and variety of services in the urban centres in general and the largest metropolis in particular, continuous migration flows take place beginning from those who can survive in these centres even with-

out any formal sector jobs. Poverty is not the only factor pushing people towards the cities, but attraction factors pull them too. Islam (1996a) and Siddiqui (1993) describe these attraction factors as illegal power and water supplies, colourfulness of the city, willingness to change and see new places, and so on, while Todaro termed them as 'bright city lights'. This theory is supported by about 6% of the respondents; i.e., bright city lights were an influential factor in them moving to the city.

# **Factor Analysis**

The basic push-pull model for migration behaviour drawn from classical economic theory is closely related to the theory of the labour market. In its initial form, the model assumes that equilibrium will be maintained in wage rates because migration will balance out differentials caused by the advantages of different employment locations (Jackson, 1986). Factors determining migration have been extracted through factor analysis. The determinants of migration to the city are characterized by two major categories: one is 'pull' and the other is 'push'. The cumulative percentage of the variances of these factors is 69.1. This implies that the determinants could reasonably be sufficient to explain migration. Push factors alone have accounted for approximately two thirds of the total variances. Push factors explaining 51.6% of the total variance mean it is the most powerful factor in determining migration. This is represented as a positive correlation (factor loadings) with f<sub>1</sub>, f<sub>2</sub>, f4, f5, f6, f7, f9, f11, and f17. This factor is a push factor based on the loadings.

Table 2. Push factors

Variable*	<b>Factor loadings</b>
f <sub>1</sub> Failure to repay NGO loan	.71126
f <sub>2</sub> Search of work	.92133
f <sub>4</sub> Urge of livelihood (extreme poverty)	.87694
f <sub>5</sub> Homelessness	.73891
f <sub>6</sub> Landlessness	.88371
f <sub>7</sub> Threatened by opposition	.63314

f <sub>9</sub> Natural disaster	.79981
f <sub>11</sub> Marital factor (divorce, newly married etc)	.69933
f <sub>17</sub> Loss of income sources	.51922
% Variance	51.6
Eigenvalue	7.397

<sup>\*%</sup> of respondents

Source: Computed from survey data, 2003

**Table 3. Pull factors** 

Variable	Factor loadings
f <sub>19</sub> Easy access to informal sector	.61406
$F_{21}$ Positive information on the city (many RMGs etc)	.46525
F <sub>22</sub> Higher income probability	.76114
F <sub>24</sub> Joining relatives/ families	.88211
% of Variance	17.5
Eigenvalue	2.903

<sup>\*%</sup> of respondents

Source: Computed from survey data, 2003

Pull factors account for 17.5% of the total variances and are positively correlated with variables f1: easy access to informal sector; f3: positive information on the city; f4: higher income probability; f6: joining relatives/families. Variables with high factor loadings denote the influential determinants of migration. The findings here are similar to the regression analysis. Among pull factors, 'high income probability' is the second highest factor loading, which supports the Todaro model of wage differentials between rural and urban areas as a factor in migration.

# Slums: the final destination

The link between migration and slums has been of concern to development planners since it has become apparent that the majority of Asia's population (56%) will be living in urban areas by the

year 2020 (Islam, 1996). The adverse consequence of migration is evident in Dhaka, where about 40% of the total population lives in slum areas. Slums are residential areas of very high population density, high room density (three or more adults in one room), and poor housing with inadequate access to basic civic amenities. They are often built on land devoid of municipal holding numbers. Inhabitants rent from powerful people who have illegally occupied vacant public lands (Siddiqui, 1993). Slums are areas and communities of very high density (>300 persons/acre), and housing (generally shacks, cutcha houses (earthen structures), semi-pucca houses (built partially of concrete), flimsy structures, or very old dilapidated buildings). Very poor people who are mostly engaged in the informal sector inhabit such areas (Islam, 1996b).

According to various sources, there were between 1,125 slums housing 2.3 million people in 1991 (Islam, 1996c) and 4,000 slums housing 3.6 million people and occupying 1,089 acres of land. The density of population doubled between 1974 and 1990 (Islam, 1996b). Lloyd (1979) found 94% of slum dwellers in Dhaka were from rural areas, while Majumder (1996) and Qadir (1975) put the figure at 93%. However, not all migrants are fortunate enough to find accommodation in slums. Most of them begin by squatting and gradually try to accommodate themselves in slums; finding a place is difficult without family connections in slums.

**Table 4. Destinations of migrants** 

Destination	%	Significance*
Slums	78	
Category 1**	11	P<0.004 (cat 1 vs cat 2,
Category 2	24	vs cat 3)
Category 3	43	
Squatters	13	P<0.003 (slums vs
Others	9	squatters vs others)
Total	100.00	

Source: Computed from survey data, 2003

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at 95% confidence level

<sup>\*\*</sup>Category 1: bamboo+ straw with reasonably high roof

Category 2: Bamboo/wood+ polythene paper (one needs to bend down to enter into)

Category 3: Makeshift (polythene paper and rope). One has to crawl down to enter into.

Others : Mess, relatives' house etc.

Data show that a significantly higher percentage of migrants (78%) (P<0.003) live in slums as compared to those living in squats, despite easier access to squats in the cities (although squats afford less permanence). Again a significantly lower percentage (11%) of migrants landed in the class one category of slums as compared to those live in lowest category slums (P<0.000) (43%). Data reinforce that almost all migrants from rural poor families end up in slums.

# **Concluding remarks**

People will try to take avail themselves of economic opportunities. Hence, the existing policy in Bangladesh relating to migration suggests the government should promote economic activities in rural areas and adopt a balanced development strategy to encourage settlements and other functions in small and intermediate cities. The government's policy of rural development and poverty alleviation (along with activities by non-government agencies) has failed to arrest out-migration from rural areas. Policies that operate only on the demand side of the employment picture are probably far less effective in the long run in alleviating the unemployment problem than are policies designed to slow down the surplus of labour to urban areas.

Apart from the many 'push' factors, 'pull' factors draw people from rural to urban environments. Approximately three migrants compete for every job created in an urban area. Migrants living in subhuman conditions gradually become permanent parasites in urban areas. Here, percolation of service provisions, infrastructural development, and relocating industry to rural areas might reduce the propensity of migration. Hence, rural-urban convergence is very significant for a balanced spatial distribution. An appropriate balance between rural and urban economic opportunities through the spread of small scale industries throughout the countryside and the reorientation of economic activities and social investments

towards raising incomes in rural Bangladesh would appear to be good tools to discourage rural-urban migration. Collaboration between NGOs, the private sector and the government could enhance productivity and income levels of the rural poor.

**Acknowledgements:** I acknowledge Professor Kevin Hewison's critical comments on the paper and Dr Stephen Frost's excellent assistance with editing. The contribution of Mr Daniel Soon is also gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- Afsar R (1999) "Rural-urban dichotomy and convergence: emerging realities in Bangladesh", *Environment and Urbanization*. 11(1).235-246pp.
- Ahmed A (1999) The Bangladesh Observer, 17, September. Dhaka.
- Amin ATMN (1994) "Economics of rural urban relations reexamined in the light of growing environmental concerns", *Regional Development Studies*. UK:1;27-54.
- Bangladesh Observer The (1999) *20,000 rendered homeless: 8,000 shanties demolished.* Dhaka: August 9.
- BBS: Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics.
- Statistical pocket book of Bangladesh (1996) Dhaka: Government of Bangladesh.
- Berner E (2000) "Poverty alleviation and the eviction of the poorest: towards urban land reform in the Philippines", *International J Urban and Regional Research*. UK. 24:3.pp.554-566.
- Bhagwati JN, Srinivasan TN (1974) "On Reanalyzing the Harris-Todaro Model: Policy Ranking in the Case of Sector-Specific Sticky Wages", The Amer. Econ. Review 64:3:502-08.
- Chiswick BR (2000) "Are immigrants favourably self selected: an economic analysis", In *Migration theory:talking across discipline*, Brettell CB and Hollifield JF (eds). Routledge.
- Corden WM, Findlay R (1997) "Urban Unemployment Inter-sectorial Capital Mobility and Development Policy", *Economica* :59-78.
- Daily Ittefaq The (1999) *Programme for taking back the rootless people to their village* [Bangla], Dhaka: July 24.
- Gugler J, Flanagan WG (1978) *Urbanization and social change in West Africa*, Cambridge, New-York, Cambridge University Press.
- Haan A (1999) "Livelihoods and poverty: the role of migration a critical review of the migration literature", *J Development Studies*. 36:2.pp.1-47.
- Harris JR, Todaro MP (1970) "Migration, Unemployment and Development: A Two-sector Analysis", *The Amer. Econ. Review*, 60;1:126-38.

- Hossain MZ (2001) "Rural-urban migration in Bangladesh: a micro level study", paper presented at the Brazil IUSSP conference. August 20-24.
- Islam N, editor (1996) *The Urban poor in Bangladesh*, Dhaka: Centre for Urban Studies,123p.
- -----, (1996). *Dhaka: from city to megacity- perspectives on people, places, planning and development issues.* Dhaka: Urban Studies Program, Dhaka University. 256 p.
- Islam QM (1999) "What about the slum dwellers", *The Bangladesh Observer*. Dhaka: October 21.
- Jackson JA (1986) Aspects of modern sociology: migration, Longman. New York.
- Johnson G (1971) "The Structure of Rural-Urban Migration Models", East Africa Economic Review 3;1:21-38.
- Kelly AC, Williamson JG (1984) What Drives Third World City Growth? A Dynamic General Equibrium Approach, The Princeton University Press, New York.
- Lewis WA (1954) "Economic development with unlimited surplus of labour", *The Manchester School of Economics and Social Studies*. 22:139-191.
- Lloyd P (1979) *Slums of hope? shanty towns of the Third World.* Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Majumder PP, Mahmud S, Afsar R (1996) *The squatters of Dhaka city: dynamism in the life of Agargaon squatters.* Dhaka: University Press Limited. 104 p.
- Millington J (2000) "Migration and Age: the effect of age on sensitivity to migration stimuli", *Regional Studies*, 34:6.pp521-533.
- Nikolinakos, M (1996) "Notes towards a general theory of migration in late capitalism", In *The Theories of migration*, Cohen R (ed). Edward Elgar Publishing Limited.Uk.
- Petersen W (1978) "International migration", *International Encyclopedia of the Social Sciences*, 10:286-300.
- Qadir SR (1975) *Bustees of Dacca: a study of squatter settlements.* Dhaka: Local Government Institute.
- Ranis G and Fei JCH (1996) "A Theory of economic development", *American Economic Review*, 51:533-565.
- Sabot RH (1979) *Economic Development and Urban Migration, Tanzania 1900-1971*, Clarendon Press Oxford.
- Samaddar R (1999) *The Marginal nation: transborder migration from Bangladesh to West Bengal.* Dhaka: The University Press Limited. 227 p.
- Siddiqui K, Qadir SR, Alamgir S, Huq S (1993) *Social Formation in Dhaka City: a study in third world.* Dhaka: The University Press Limited. 456 p.
- Simon J (1999) Social and economic differentiation in the Dhaka slum: marital affairs, migratory movements, and family matters (thesis). Boston: Harvard School of Public Health.
- Todaro MP (1969) "A model of labour migration and urban unemployment in less developed countires", *The American Economic Review*, 59:138-148.
- Todaro MP (1985) "Rural urban migration: theory and policies", *In. Economics for a developing world,* Longman, Second edition. 209-220pp.

- Ullah, AKMA, Rahman A, Murshed M (1999) *Poverty and migration: slums of Dhaka city-the realities*, Dhaka: ARDS.64p.
- UNICEF (1999) Situation assessment and analysis of children and women in Bangladesh, Dhaka:201p.
- Wang T, Maruayama A, Kikuchi M (2000) "Rural-urban Migration and labour market in China: a case study in a Northeastern province", *The Developing Economies.* XXXVII-1.pp.80-104.